THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I will not permit anybody when the Leader of the House is on his legs to speak. (*Interruptions*). No, no, it won't go on record. I cannot permit anybody.

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: *

उपसभापति: बैठिए माथुर साहब, बैठिए। इस हाउस की परंपरा यह है कि अगर लीडर आफ दि हाउस या लीडर आफ दि अपोजिशन कोई बात कहने को खड़े हों तो हमें स्वयं उनको इज्जत देकर डिस्टर्ब न करें। आपको सवाल करना है तो आप बाद में करिए। अभी आप बैठ जाइए। ....(व्यवधान)

SHRI S. B. CHAVAN: I would like to clarify the position. There is nothing under the carpet. Government has not agreed for releasing any of the hardcore terrorists. It is on their own that they have released him.

श्री सुरेन्द्रजीत सिंह अहलुवालिया (बिहार): महोदया, गृह मंत्री जी ने बताया कि उनके बेअर-ऐबाउट्स का पता नहीं है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि मंत्री महोदय यह बताएं कि जब आज रोमेनियन डिप्लोमेट श्री राडू को छोड़ा गया तो क्या इंटेलिजेंस और पुलिस को यह भी खबर नहीं थी कि उनको कोई ट्रेक कर रहा था या नहीं कर रहा था। अगर ऐसी व्यवस्था है तो हमें कोई आश्चर्य नहीं होगा कि कल को कोई दूसरा डिप्लोमैट किडनेप हो सकता है....(व्यवधान)

श्री कपिल वर्मा (उत्तर प्रदेश): मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि उनकी हैल्थ कैसी है?

उपसभापति: मैंने अभी माथुर साहब से यही बात कही। आप बैठ जाइए। ...(व्यवधान)

*Not recorded.

That applies to you also. The same rule applies to both the sides.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: They will be kidnapping at their will and they will be returning at their will, and there is a Government here.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय (उत्तर प्रदेश): किसी राजनयिक का इस तरह से अपहरण नहीं होगा, इसकी क्या व्यवस्था होगी इसके बारे में सरकार बताए... (व्यवधान)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: आप बैठ जाइए। यह बात अब खत्म हो गई। ...(व्यवधान)

If you want, you can give the question. Let me go ahead.

Reply to the clarifications on the statement made by the Minister of State in the Ministry of Parliamentary Affairs and Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs.

CLARIFICATIONS ON THE STATEMENT MADE BY THE MINISTER RE. COMMUNAL VIOLENCE IN VARANASI—Contd.

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Madam, yesterday several Members of this House from all political parties raised the issue cutting across party lines about Varanasi incident of communal riot and all of them expressed anguish over the increasing tendency shown in some parts of the country for a communal divide. Madam, this tendency of communal divide has to be curbed to maintain democracy and progress in this country. With a purpose of coming to an amicable settlement of most of the outstanding problems in this particular direction, the Government

convened a meeting of the National Integration Council a few days back.

The resolution was widely publicized and the House is fully aware of it. Madam, in spite of it an orgnized attempt must have been made by someone to create communal disharmony. Otherwise, a mere firecracker thrown by someone at some place cannot take away the lives of so many people. So we have put our heads together to see that communalism in any form or of any extent whatsoever has to be curbed. In Uttar Pradesh, in Varanasi unfortunately, we have seen these communal riots even after the firm resolution at our National Integration Council meeting where all party leaders were present, who committed themselves that they would uphold communal harmony and unity of this country.

Madam, in a communal riot there is neither victim nor vanquished. You will ultimately get a nation bleeding, a nation in despair, a nation in anguish. So, Madam, while going through the report on the Varanasi riots, I was feeling extremely sad. I do not want to blame anybody particularly, but it is the saddest moment in our history because my memories went back to the days of the Constituent Asembly when our eminent leader, Sardar Patel assured the very same Constituent Assembly when the minorities were asked to refrain from demanding separate electorates or, separate protection, for that matter. Our Sardar Patel gave an assurance that it was a trust the minorities were reposing in the majority and the majority will have to rise to the occasion to show that generosity towards the minorities because it was a trust in them all. And Panditji, who concluded the debate on this issue in the Constitutent Assembly, reiterated what Sardar Patel had said and reassured the nation that there shall never be an opportunity in this country for the minority to feel frustrated and the majority not being generous enough to accept the feelings of the minority. Madam, here we have to go back to those days to see what was the trust and confidence that our founding fathers had given to this country. That trust and confidence which emanated from the Constituent Assembly has to find a place today because the country is competing with various other countries in the world for priority, for progress, and if we are divided on communal lines, there will be no end to it.

Madam in this country you will find that all the religions of the world are here. Whether we like it or not, the basic philosophy of Hinduism—an all-pervasive philosophy—is here. All the religions that were born in this world are here. So, unless we learn to live together, unless we accept tolerance and adjustment, this country is not going to be in peace at any time. This is a matter of fact. And every religious group has spread to all the States majority and minority have spread to all the villages even. If one community is in majority in one district, there is another community in majority in another district. If one community is a minority group in one taluk, another group which is in majority, there is a minority group in another taluk. Therefore, Madam, unless we agree to live together peacefully, there is no solution to our problems in this country

Madam, it was with all this in mind that I was listening to the comments made in this House by most of our Members. Now, as soon as I heard the comments here during the Zero Hour, I talked to the Chief Minister in Uttar Pradesh. The Government has sent messages to the Government of U.P. asking them for certain information which we wanted. I even wrote a letter in which I asked him to let us know—that Parliament wants to know—the circumstances leading to the death of Dr. Anees.

[Shri M. M. Jocab]

Dr. Anees was a very renowned person in Varanasi, I am told. I am told he was a highly respected person. Dr. Ratnakar Pandey, who comes from that very same place, has paid glowing tributes to Dr. Anees He was having very good relations with the district administration. He was having very good position in the society. When the report came that he was no more, I wrote to the Chief Minister that the circumstances leading to the death of Dr. Anees must be informed.

Again, Madam, on several other matters like how many people are there even today in jail, those details also have been asked for. How many people were arrested? Why was there no action after the first incident on the 8th? Why was the action started only after the incident on the 13th on a large scale? Why was curfew relaxed after two days? Why did the trouble come with much holocaust of communal tragedy? So, on all those points I am awaiting a reply from the U.P. Chief Minister.

The final suggestion which I made—and I made a personal request to the U.P. Chief Minister—was to inform us whether it is possible to have a judicial enquiry. The U.P. Government was gracious enough to order a magisterial enquiry into the death of Dr. Anees. But, a magisterial enquiry, I thought, may not be enough. So we wanted to know the result through a high-level judicial enquiry. I am waiting for the result of a judicial enquiry.

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA (Uttar Pradesh): The U.P. Government was bound to order a magisterial enquiry.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Don't interrupt.

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: I am not interrupting. Whenever a person dies in police custody, a magisterial enquiry will follow.

SHRI M. M. JACOB: You are right. A magisterial enquiry is a rountine, normal thing. So, a judicial enquiry has now been ordered. I have not got the terms of reference of the judicial enquiry. I have not got the name of the judge who is going to be the head of the judicial enquiry. All those points will be arriving—I do not know—may be arriving very soon. If all of us are keen, naturally, the U.P. Government will react to this. It is also a duly elected democratically elected State Government. This is a matter for the State Government to attend to. That is why I did not go further except that I only expressed the anxiety and anguish expressed on the floor of the House.

One question was asked of me by one of our hon. Members: how many CRPF companies are there? Madam, at the time of the occurrence of this, one company of the CRPF was there earlier. Then, five companies were sent on the 8th, and more companies were sent later.

In this connection, many of the Members asked me this question: What happened to the commitment made to the nation about a rapid-action force to deal with communal riots? Madam, a committee was constituted by the Home Ministry under the chairmanship of the Director-General, CRPF, to give a report to us to decide how a rapid-action force could be formed, which could be deployed in communally-sensitive areas where you expect communal tension and trouble. It has gone through that. The suggestion has been accepted, and I would like to inform you that now a special riot force or a rapid-action force is at a fairly advanced stage. It may be a few days more for the proposal to get implemented after the approval by the Cabinet. So, I hope that by this special riot force or rapid-action force—the name has to be decided finally—we will be in a position to

press into service this riot force or rapid-action force to communally sensitive areas. Then you can avoid the complaint about a particular police force of a particular State being partial or prejudicial to the interests of one community or the other in a particular area. So that is the demand of the Congress party also in the manifesto. It is in our manifesto. Shri Rajiv Gandhi, the late Prime Minister had assured us that we will go with it. As a soldier of the Congress party I stand before you and say that we are committed to this Rapid Action Force to see that communal riots are prevented and a healing touch is given. I am confident that this will be worked out.

An hon. Member asked a question: How many are there in jail at the moment? My information is that there are about 183 persons in jail. I do not think that it is necessary for me to tell about the number of people in jail belonging to each community though I have that information with me. It may not be in the fitness of things to say how many people belonging to a particular communit have lost more lives and how many people belonging to another community have lost lives. This will not set a good trend because it is not a healthy practice.

श्री राम नरेश यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश) : यह तो बता ही सकते है कि गिरफ्तारी में भेदभाव हुआ है ।

SHRI M. M. JACOB: That was about the people of our society.

Another question raised was about the terms of reference. I have indicated even in my letter the issues the Members of Parliament are concerned about and the details which they want to know. I hope the terms of reference of the judicial inquiry will be framed suitably so that all those points which the nation is so anxious to know will come out.

I think I have answered most of the questions except the code of conduct to be observed by the newspapers. It is true that the newspapers will have to play a very vital role in preventing communal riots in the country. Whenever some incidents take place, I do hope that the newspapers will see to it that the communal riots are not repeated even if it is a minor incident. It was brought to our notice by some Members that some newspapers with sinister designs have inflamed the situation so that communal frenzy was accelerated. It is always the duty of every newspaper to see that the principle of harmony is maintained among all the communities. With the traditional background of our newspapers, I am confident that they will play a vital role in creating a peaceful, harmonious relationship among all the communities of this country.

Lastly, some Members asked about the quantum of compensation to be given to the next of kin of the deceased.

According to the information which I have got they have decided to give Rs. 20,000 for a death. But the Home Ministry has issued guidelines some years back wherein a suggestion was made that Rs. 50,000 may be given to the relatives of the person who died in the incident. I am told that there is a rule about the compensation to be given in such cases in the State of Uttar Pradesh. That is the accepted rule there. But the previous Government contrary to the rules formulated by the Uttar Pradesh Government gave Rs. 1 lakh as compensation for the next of kin of the deceased. Well, it is up to the Uttar Pradesh State Government to decide whether they want to give more compensation to the next of kin of the deceased or maintain the rule framed by them. So as per the rules they have done it. It is up to them to decide about it.

[Shri M. M. Jacob]

Then, Madam, I am very thankful to all the sixteen Members.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय (उत्तर प्रदेश) : नाम ले लीजिये सब का।

श्री एम० एम० जैकब : नाम भी मेरे पास है।

Dr. Ratnakar Pandey, Shri Ram Naresh Yadav, Maulana Obaidullah Khan Azmi, Shri Satya Prakash Malaviya, Dr. Abrar Ahmed, Shri Mohamed Afzal *alias* Meem Afzal, Shri Sangh Priya Gautam, Shrimati Satya Bahin, Shrimati Sarala Maheshwari...(*Interruptions*).

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय : उपसभापति जी, 8 तारीख को दंगा हुआ और उस दिन वहां के सांसद श्री दीक्षित और लोक सभा में विरोधी दल के नेता श्री लाल कृष्ण आडवाणी जी उपस्थित थे। जनता का आरोप है कि*। इस पर मंत्री महोदय क्या कहना चाहते हैं। यह सच है या नहीं? **(व्यवधान)** माथुर जी यहां से गाइड कर रहे थे **(व्यवधान)**

श्रीमती सत्या बहिन (उत्तर प्रदेश) : आडवाणी जी जो बनारस गए थे उनकी बनारस यात्रा के बारे में बताइये **(व्यवधान)** यह भी बताएं कि * उनकी क्या भूमिका रही थी **(व्यवधान)** आप इसकी जांच कराएं **(व्यवधान)**

SHRI M. M. JACOB: I do not have information about that, Madam.

SHRI KAPIL VERMA (Uttar Pradesh): Whether any communal group was provoking the riots is the main thing.

SHRI M. M. JACOB: The circumstances are so clear that there must be someone who is trying to flare it up.

SHRI KAPIL VERMA: If it is the work of some communal group, action must be taken. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI M. M. JACOB: As soon as I get the information.... (*Interruptions*). Please let me read the names. Shri Shabbir Ahmad Salaria, Shri Rafique Alam, Dr. Yelamanchili Sivaji, Shri Anant Ram Jaiswal, Shri Tindivanam G. Venkatraman, Dr. G. Vijaya Mohan Reddy and Shri Syed Sibtey Razi. These are the friends who participated in the debate. I am thankful to all those friends who participated in the debate and raised various points. I must congratulate them. All of them were very much within the limit and all of them raised the level of the discussion. I must thank them for their self-control. Thank you very much, Madam.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय : उपसभापति महोदया, दो तीन चीजें अभी रह गई हैं। मेरे नगर का मामला है, इसलिए मैं प्रार्थना करूंगा कि मंत्री महोदय से मुझे पूछने दिया जाए। 8 तारीख को जिस दिन दंगा हुआ उस दिन माननीय लाल कृष्ण आडवाणी जी और वहां के सांसद श्री दीक्षित जी वहां गए थे या नहीं? **(व्यवधान)** * **(व्यवधान)**

श्री राघवजी (मध्य प्रदेश) : महोदया, यह क्लेरीफिकेशन पर भी क्लेरीफिकेशन हो रहा है **(व्यवधान)**

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय : मंत्री महोदय के बार बार डायरेक्शन दिये जाने के बाद भी उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार ने आज तक सही सूचना नहीं भेजी है। मैं चाहूंगा कि आई०बी० से इसकी जांच कराई जाए **(व्यवधान)** बनारस केवल हिंदू, मुसलमान, जैन और बौद्धों का तीर्थस्थल नहीं है, माननीय जैकब साहब को मैं आपके माध्यम से बताना चाहता

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

हूं कि इसाइयो का सब से बड़ा तीर्थस्थल बनारस में है (**व्यवधान**) क्या मंत्री जी खुद वहां जा कर के सारे मामले की जांच करेंगे अन्यथा (**व्यवधान**)

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: (महाराष्ट्र मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है (**व्यवधान**)

उपसभापति: मंत्री जी जवाब दे रहे हैं (**व्यवधान**)

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है। जवाब से पहले आप सुन लीजिये। आडवाणी जी दूसरे सदन में विपक्ष के नेता हैं। उन पर इस सदन में * इस प्रकार का आरोप लगाना क्या यह ठीक है (**व्यवधान**)

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: * (**व्यवधान**)

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: क्या आपने यह नहीं कहा कि * (**व्यवधान**)

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: क्या आडवाणी जी विश्वनाथ का दर्शन करने गए थे 8 तारीख को (**व्यवधान**)

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: वहां तो आपने मस्जिद बना दी है पाण्डेय जी।

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: 8 तारीख को जिस दिन दंगा हुआ, आडवाणी जी बनारस में थे या नहीं थे? मंत्री महोदय यह बताएं (**व्यवधान**)

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग (मध्य प्रदेश): आप भी वहां थे, आपने भी भड़काऊ भाषण दिया था दंगा भड़काने के लिए (**व्यवधान**) आप भी * पाण्डेय हैं (**व्यवधान**)

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: आडवाणी जी वहां थे या नहीं थे?

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: यह आरोप सही नहीं है (**व्यवधान**) जो मन में आए वह नहीं बोल सकते (**व्यवधान**)

उपसभापति: आप बैठिये। (**व्यवधान**)

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: पाण्डेय जी वहां थे या नहीं थे (**व्यवधान**) पाण्डेय जी वाराणसी के हैं, यह वहां थे या नहीं थे, यह बताइये (**व्यवधान**) पाण्डेय जी कहां गए थे (**व्यवधान**)

उपसभापति: एक मिनट आप स्थान ग्रहण कीजिये (**व्यवधान**) वह सवाल पूछ रहे हैं, मंत्री जी को जवाब तो दीजिये (**व्यवधान**)

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: आडवाणी जी को बनारस न जा कर लखनऊ जाना चाहिये था (**व्यवधान**) वह जान बूझ कर के बनारस गए (**व्यवधान**) *

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: इनके शहर में इतना बड़ा दंगा हो रहा था और यह इधर-उधर घूम रहे थे बिना काम के (**व्यवधान**)

उपसभापति: अच्छा, अभी मंत्री जी को बोलने दीजिए।

SHRI KAPIL VERMA: Madam, I would like to know whether any communal group was responsible for it or not. What is the intelligence report? (*Interruptions*). I would like to know whether a particular communal group instigated the riots or not. (*Interruptions*). I want a categorical reply from the Minister.

SHRI M. M. JACOB: I will reply to Mr. Ratnakar Pandey first. (*Interruptions*).

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: वहां जाकर उन्होंने दंगा भड़काया है।

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Nobody was there. (*Interruptions*). Where was the Prime Minister? Where was the Home Minister? Where was the Minister of State for Home Affairs? (*Interruptions*).

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: महोदया, इनका नाम * पाण्डेय है। * पाण्डेय को कुछ भी बोलने से रोका जाना चाहिए, चूंकि ये अनर्गल बोलते हैं.. (**व्यवधान**) यह राज्य सभा का फोरम है, एल्डर्स का फोरम है, ऐसा कुछ भी बोलना ठीक बात नहीं है .. (**व्यवधान**) ये जब तक नहीं बैठेंगे मैं नहीं बैठूंगा। ये * पाण्डेय हैं।

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: आप * हैं। एक और * आ गया।

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: हम * नहीं दिलवाले हैं, * पाण्डेय .. (**व्यवधान**) * पाण्डेय .. (**व्यवधान**)

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: * यह बता ... (**व्यवधान**)

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर (उत्तर प्रदेश): महोदया..

उपसभापति: माथुर साहब, एक सैकेंड जरा आप बैठेंगे। अभी मंत्री जी अपना जवाब जब दे रहे थे तो उन्होंने यह बात बड़ी स्पष्ट रूप से कही कि सब मेम्बर्स ने, 15 लोगों ने इस पर भांग लिया, सवाल पूछे और डिसकशन के स्तर को ऊंचा किया। आप कृपया इस स्तर को नीचे मत कीजिए। उन्होंने जो बात की... (**व्यवधान**)

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: जिधर से स्तर नीचे हो रहा है उधर देखिए .. (**व्यवधान**)

उपसभापति: मैं सबसे कह रही हूं।

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: सबसे कहकर कैसे होगा।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am telling everyone.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA (Rajasthan): you should tell it to the people who have brought down the standard of the House.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am telling the entire House.

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: * पाण्डेय... (**व्यवधान**)

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: भड़कते क्यों हैं।

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: एक बार नहीं दस बार कहूंगा * पाण्डेय। अनर्गल बोलते हैं।

श्री सुरेन्द्रजीत सिंह अहलूवालिया: (बिहार): महोदया, दोनों के वार्तालाप में * और * शब्द जो लगाया गया है इन दोनों को एक्सपंज कर दीजिए।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I will expunge it.

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: पूरा हिंदुस्तान काला है, राम काले थे, कृष्ण काले थे, मैं भी काला हूं, तमिलनाडु काला है .. (**व्यवधान**) मुझे काला कहकर मेरा अपमान नहीं कर रहे हैं। आप हिन्दुस्तान की जनता का अपमान कर रहे हैं।

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: आपका दिल भी काला है।

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: मेरा तो सफेद है।

उपसभापति: मंत्री जी बोलिए।

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: आपकी पार्टी काली टोपी लगाती है।

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: आपक दिल काला है, आप काले हैं, आपक मन्तव्य काला है.. (**व्यवधान**)

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

SHRI R. K. DHAWAN (Andhra Pradesh): Madam, I want to say something. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI KAPIL VERMA: Madam, a vital point is being missed. What is the intelligence report? (*Interruptions*)

SHRI R. K. DHAWAN: Madam, I have the privilege of witnessing the proceedings in this House for almost 25 years by sitting at its lobby and it used to be a matter of pleasure to spare some time in office hours, come to the lobby and listen to the proceedings in this House. But what I have seen in the last one-and-a-half years in this House—I would not blame either side, this side or that side--I feel really very sorry and pained to see the level to which we have brought the proceedings in this House. So, I request all the Members that they should behave properly. If a particular Member is speaking, he should be allowed to speak. When other Member wants to reply, he should be free to reply. But let us maintain some decorum in this House. What the people will think of us? What the press will think of us? What the history will think of us? I do not know whether it is parliamentary or unparliamentary to use the word "ashamed". But I feel ashamed about what I felt then and what I am feeling now.

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI (Maharashtra): I support Mr. Dhawan. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is appealing to the Members. (*Interruptions*).

उपसभापति: मंत्री जी, जवाब दे रहे थे। ... **(व्यवधान)**

SHRI A. G. KULKARNI: Maddam, I support Mr. Dhawan.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is appealing to the Members. He did not say anything wrong.

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: आपने उनको इजाज़त दी है, तो मुझे भी इजाज़त दीजिए। मुझको भी बोलने दीजिए ना। ... **(व्यवधान)**

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Madam, I also support Mr. Dhawan and ask him why he prevented me yesterday from speaking. ... (*Interruptions*)....

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let us not start a discussion on Mr. Dhawan. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: It applies to the left, to the right and to yourself. It applies to all.... (*Interruptions*)...

उपसभापति: माथुर साहब, आप बैठ जाइये। जो धवन साहब ने अभी कहा, अफ्सोस यह है कि बहुत अच्छी बात होती है तो उस पर भी डिसक्शन होने लगता है।

श्री प्रमोद महाजन: जो अच्छी बात करते हैं, वह अच्छा व्यवहार भी करें। जो हमको बोलने नहीं देते, वही आज हमको कह रहे हैं।

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: सब को अपना गरेबान झांकने को कहें।

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Madam, please tell him not to quote scriptures.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: O.K. I will tell everybody.
मंत्री जी को जवाब देने दीजिये।

श्री कैलाश नारायण सारंग: जवाब तो हो चुका। ... **(व्यवधान)**

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: जो अभी धवन साहब ने कहा है, उसमें किसी की मतभिन्नता नहीं हो सकती, लेकिन आवश्यक यह है कि हमारे जो कुछ

[श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर]

और माननीय सदस्य हैं उनसे मेरा नम्र-निवेदन है कि यह भी शांत रह करके अन्यों के सामने यह उदाहरण रखें।

मैं जानता हूं पाण्डेयजी बड़े अच्छे मित्र हैं जो कुछ वह यहां बोलते हैं और जो वह मुझसे लाबी में बाहर कहते हैं, उसमें जमीन-आसमान का अंतर होता है। जो बात वह यहां कहते हैं, बाहर उसका उलटा कहते हैं। उनकी दो राय हैं। बाहर वह कहते हैं कि आडवाणीजी, जो कुछ कर रहे हैं, वह बिलकुल ठीक कर रहे हैं। यह मेरा उनके साथ मित्रता का संबंध है। मैं उनसे हाथ जोड़ कर गंभीरता से कहूंगा कि मित्र दुधारी बात न करें और जो मन में है, वह वहां कहें और जो मन में है, वही यहां कहें। बाहर आडवाणी जी की तारीफ करते हो और बड़ा भला बताते हो, उत्तम कहते हो, अच्छा काम कर रहे हैं, भड़का हुआ नहीं कहते हो, और कुछ और कहते हो।

तो मेरी पाण्डेयजी से विनम्र प्रार्थना है कि भैया आप एक ही बात कहो।

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: मैडम, मेरे ऊपर आरोप लगाया गया है कि बाहर मैं कुछ कहता हूं और भीतर कुछ कहता हूं—यह बिलकुल गलत है। जो कुछ मैं कहता हूं, पूरे उत्तरदायित्व के साथ कहता हूं। सदन में जो कुछ कहता हूं, वही देश में कहता हूं, वही माथुर साहब से कहता हूं और वही सब से कहता हूं।

इस तरह की असत्य बातें इस सदन में रेकार्ड न हों। इनको एक्सपंज करिए। दोहरे चरित्र के लोग उधर बैठे हुए हैं। हमारा दोहरा चरित्र नहीं है। हम जो कहते हैं, सही भावना से कहते हैं। ...(व्यवधान) वह चरित्र इनका है। ...(व्यवधान)

यह मुझे बतायें कि 8 तारीख को यह बनारस में थे या नहीं? इतना मैंने पूछा और इस तथ्य को सदन में मुखरित न होने देने के कारण इतनी बड़ी-बड़ी चीजें कही गईं, जो मेरे व्यक्तित्व पर आक्षेप है, ऐसा मैं मानता हूं और उसकी सारे सदन को निंदा करनी चाहिए।

उपसभापति: शांति।

श्री जगदीश प्रसाद माथुर: यह उसी बात का नमूना है, देखिये। मैं आपका बड़ा ही आभारी हूं कि जो कुछ मैंने कहा था, उसी का दुबारा नमूना प्रस्तुत किया है ...(व्यवधान) ..

उपसभापति: माथुर साहब, आप बैठिये। हमारी परम्परा है कि जो हाउस में होता है, हम उसी की बात करते हैं, लाबी में, सेंट्रल हाल में, चेम्बर में जो बात होती है, उसके बारे में हाउस में जिक्र नहीं किया जाता। इसलिए कृपया उसे न कहें। उन्होंने क्या कहा—

You cannot substantiate it. Please don't do it. I won't permit it. Whatever happens outside the House, I am not concerned with that. Whatever happens in the House, I am concerned with that only.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय: मैंने जो कुछ कहा है, मैं उसी पर स्टैंड लेता हूं। ...(व्यवधान)

श्री अजो: जोगी (मध्य प्रदेश): पाण्डेय जी ने जो बात कही, उस पर तो सदन सिम्पेथी व्यक्त करे।

SHRI M. M. JACOB: Madam, I don't want to take the time of the House. I want to conclude as early as possible. One thing we could do was to share the information of the Intelligence with the U.P. State Government which we had done and which we are doing. Second, whenever they ask us to provide the force, that also is done by the Centre. And

now I may not be able to come back... (*Interruption*)... Let me be allowed to continue. The situation was not a happy one. Once something happens on a particular day, it is the duty of the State Government to see that it is prevented in future. But recurrence is a thing that worries me, especially the recurrence of the killings on 13th, 14th and 15th; the recurrence of the killings of the 15th instant is something very, very sad and painful. So, whatever we have we shared with them. That is why I said, "we are waiting for the report from the U.P. Government." But I will assure the House that this Government will not waste a moment to see that communal harmony is maintained in the country. At the moment, law and order is a State subject. We all know that. We respect a duly elected State Government. But, when it is a communal tragedy, a holocaust, the nation has duty and the duty will have to be performed at the appropriate time by the Central Government. This Government will have to do certain things to see how best we can avoid such communal riots.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय : नहीं, 8 तारीख को आडवाणी जी बनारस में थे या नहीं थे, यह मैंने पूछा था। ...(व्यवधान) मंत्री महोदय ने बहुत अच्छा भाषण दिया। ...(व्यवधान) 8 तारीख को आडवाणी जी बनारस में थे या नहीं? ...(व्यवधान)

SHRI M. M. JACOB: I will report that my information is this.

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय : सारे अखबारों में छपा है कि 8 तारीख को आडवाणी जी वहां थे और गृह मंत्रालय अगर इतना अक्षम है कि सच्चाई नहीं कह सकता तो क्या मामला है? सच्चाई यह है कि 8 तारीख को आडवाणी जी बनारस में थे। मैं झूठ हूं तो मंत्री जी सूचना दें, यह मैं जानना चाहता हूं? ...(व्यवधान)।

SHRI KAPIL VERMA: I want to know whether the communal riot was instigated. I want to know what the Minister's information is.

श्रीमती सरोज खापर्डे : मैडम, आडवाणी जी वहां पर गए हुए थे इसलिए... (व्यवधान)

डा० रत्नाकर पाण्डेय : या फिर अखबारों के खिलाफ कार्यवाही की जाए, प्रैस कौंसिल में जाया जाए या मंत्री महोदय बताएं कि मैं सत्य कह रहा हूं या नहीं कह रहा हूं, 8 तारीख को आडवाणी जी वाराणसी में थे या नहीं? भारत सरकार का विजिलस क्या कर रहा है?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Clarifications. ..(*Interruptions*)... Now that matter is closed, (*Interruptions*) ...That discussion is concluded. Now, we will have the clarifications on the statement made by the Minister of Finance on 22nd November, 1991. I will call Mr. Jagesh Desai to ask for the clarifications.

Clarifications on the Statement made by the Minister Re. Gold Transactions

SHRI JAGESH DESAI (Maharashtra): Madam, I have great pleasure to hear that all the gold which we have pledged has been redeemed. I compliment the Government for its action. But, Sir, I would like to know from you that out of the total which you have used for redeeming the pledge what the figures regarding NRI deposits are, what the response is to the bonds quoted and what the remittances are that you have received in dollars or other hard currencies. I am very happy that today as regards our foreign exchange we are on a better footing. But only to earn some foreign exchange if you allow the export of foodgrains which are required for the common man the